

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/669,707	09/26/2000	Donald L. Mobley	8266-0474	5523	
	590 01/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS LLP 135 N PENNSYLVANIA ST			GIBSON, RANDY W		
SUITE 2700			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204			2841		
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/669,707	MOBLEY ET AL.	MOBLEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	Art Unit			
	Randy W. Gibson	2841	11/1/			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
	/ 10 05T TO 5 VDID5 -					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	ta reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timel ONTHS from the mailing date of this c	y. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Oc	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,9,11-20,22-27,29-31 and 33-51</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>6-8,10,21,28 and 32</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 October 2002</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	priority under 25 LLC C	° 5 110(a) (d) a= (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)						
since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.	t sentence of the specif	ication or in an Application	Data Sheet.			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	priority under 35 U.S.C specification or in an A	C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a Application Data Sheet. 37 (a specific CFR 1.78.			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/ Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s f Informal Patent Application (PTO				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1. 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/669,707

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed October 15, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR § 1.98(a)(1) because there is no attached PTO-1449 or the equivalent anywhere in the file. Also, most of the references are not dated, there is no publisher listed for any of them, and none of the relevant information required by 37 CFR § 1.98(b)(5) has been supplied. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 11, 22-27, 29, 30, 31, and 33-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Welvaarts (EP 0744598 A1). Welvaads discloses a load cell block (2) that has an end pad (IE: "stud") which is inserted into a mount (8) which has an internal liner (10). Exactly whether the relative term "more lulbrous" is actually limiting in a patentable sense is debatable, but nonetheless, the examiner notes that plastic material would appear to have a lower coefficient of friction than ceramic or steel depending on the type of surface treatment or finish applied to the load cell block.

Application/Control Number: 09/669,707

Art Unit: 2841

4. Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 11-14, 16-20, 22, 24-27, 29-31, 33-35, 38-43, 45-47, and 49-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stafford et al (US # 5,859,390). Stafford et al discloses a load cell block (20) that has a stud (53) which is inserted into a mount (44) which has a resilient liner (48).

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carruth et al (US # 4,874,692) in view of Welvaarts (EP 0744598 A1). Carruth et al disclose the claimed invention (Fig. 3) except that their load cell is mounted slightly differently between the two hospital bed frames than the load cell as claimed. However, the mounting of a load cell between two frames in the manner claimed is shown by Welvaarts. It would have been obvious to the ordinary practioner to mount the load cell of Carruth et al in the manner specified by Welvaarts based on its known suitability for its intended use. See *Ryco, Inc. v. Ag-Bag Corp.*, 857 F.2d 1418, 8 USPQ2d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 1988); and, *MPEP* § 2144.07.

Application/Control Number: 09/669,707

Art Unit: 2841

Conclusion

Page 4

7. Claims 6-8, 10, 21, 28, and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (703) 308-1765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on (703) 308-3121. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-5115.

Randy W Gibson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2841